



ALGEBRA OF POLYNOMIALS BOUNDED ON SOME STRIPS

Du Thi Thu Trang*, Nguyen Anh Ngoc

University of Transport and Communications, No 3 Cau Giay Street, Hanoi, Vietnam

ARTICLE INFO

TYPE: Research Article

Received: 21/07/2021

Revised: 16/11/2021

Accepted: 14/01/2022

Published online: 15/01/2022

<https://doi.org/10.47869/tcsj.73.1.8>

*Corresponding author

Email: dutrang1986@utc.edu.vn; Tel: +84 913026068

Abstract. In the present paper, for a finite sequence of single variable polynomials $g(x) = (g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x))$, we study the ring of geometrically bounded elements on a generalized strip $M_c(g)$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} which is the solution of the system of polynomial inequalities $g_1(x) \leq y_1 \leq g_1(x) + c_1$, $g_2(x) \leq y_2 \leq g_2(x) + c_2$, ..., $g_n(x) \leq y_n \leq g_n(x) + c_n$. This ring is shown to be the finitely generated \mathbb{R} -algebra $\mathbb{R}[y_1 - g_1(x), y_2 - g_2(x), \dots, y_n - g_n(x)]$ provided that $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ is a positive vector. However, if $c = 0$ then this algebra is not finitely generated in general. In particular, we point out that the ring of geometrically bounded elements on 'a generalized strip' of the form $M(g_1, g_2)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 which is the solution of the polynomial inequality $g_1(x) \leq y \leq g_2(x)$ is trivial (i.e., is equal to \mathbb{R}) provided that $g_1(x)$ is less than $g_2(x)$ at infinity. As a consequence, we can describe the ring of geometrically bounded elements on a finite union of disjoint strips.

Keywords: Positivstellensätze, bounded polynomials, semi-algebraic.

© 2022 University of Transport and Communications

1. INTRODUCTION

Starting with the 17th Hilbert's Problem, many problems have arisen in Real Algebraic Geometry, and many interesting results have been obtained. Given a basic closed semi-algebraic set $K = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : f_1(y) \geq 0, f_2(y) \geq 0, \dots, f_m(y) \geq 0\}$, where f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m are real polynomials. Denominator-free Positivstellensätze are results characterizing all polynomials,

which are positive on K in terms of sums of squares and the polynomials f_i used to describe K . Theorems about the existence of such representations have various applications, notably in problems of optimizing polynomial functions on semi-algebraic sets. For a survey and details, we refer the reader to [1-5] and the references therein.

In the case K is compact, Schmudgen [6] has proved that any polynomial, which is positive on K , is in the pre-ordering $T = T(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$ (T is the set of finite sums of elements in the form $s_e f_1^{e_1} f_2^{e_2} \dots f_m^{e_m}$, where $e_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and each s_e is a sum of squares of polynomials). For non-compact case, there are some results such as [7, 8], etc.

Note that Schweighofer [9] gave an algebraic proof of Schmudgen Positivstellensatz [6, Corollary 3]. In this proof, the bounded algebra is an important key. (From that proof, many works studying the algebra of polynomials bounded on a semi-algebraic set have been published such as [10-12], etc).

The strip $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ was studied in [13] (or also in [14]) which stated that a real polynomial which is nonnegative on a strip $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ belongs to the pre-ordering $T(y(1-y))$. Replacing the horizontal lines $y=0, y=1$ by $y_i = g_i(x), y_i = g_i(x) + c_i$, we define a generalized strip as follows:

$$M_c(g) = M_c(g_1, \dots, g_n) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n} : g_i(x) \leq y_i \leq g_i(x) + c_i; \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

where $g_i(x)$ are real single variable polynomials and $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ is a vector of positive coordinates. When $n=1, g \equiv 0$ and $c=1$ the strip $M_1(0) = \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ is defined as in [13]. In this paper, we calculate the algebra of polynomials which are bounded on the generalized strips $M_c(g_1, \dots, g_n)$. It turns out that the algebra mentioned here is finitely generated (Theorem 2.1). We recall that \mathbb{R} -algebra A is said to be *finitely generated* if there exists a finite set $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$ such that $A = \mathbb{R}[U] = \mathbb{R}[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k]$.

However, when $c=0$ and $n=1$ the generalized strip $M_0(g)$ is the curve $y = g(x)$, and the algebra of polynomials bounded on $y = g(x)$ is not finitely generated in general (Corollary 2.2).

2. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of integer numbers, \mathbb{N} the set of positive integer numbers, and \mathbb{R}^n the Euclidean space of dimension n . The ring of real polynomials in n variables y_1, \dots, y_n is denoted by $\mathbb{R}[y] = \mathbb{R}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ and the ring of real polynomials in single variable x is denoted by $\mathbb{R}[x]$. For a subset K of \mathbb{R}^n , by $B(K)$ we denote the set of all polynomials bounded on K . Then $B(K)$ is called the bounded algebra and is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{R}[y]$ over \mathbb{R} .

We start with the bounded algebra on a compact cross-section set.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and K be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that*

$$K \setminus p^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset; \forall p \in \mathbb{R}[y] \setminus \{0\}. \quad (1)$$

Then the algebra of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$ which are bounded on $\mathbb{R} \times K$ is $\mathbb{R}[y] = \mathbb{R}[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$.

Proof:

Since K is compact, we immediately get $\mathbb{R}[y] \subseteq B(\mathbb{R} \times K)$. We prove the converse direction. For $f(x, y) \in B(\mathbb{R} \times K)$, we can write

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^m f_i(y)x^i. \quad (2)$$

Assume that there is an index $i > 0$ such that $f_i \neq 0$. Since K has the property (1), there exists $y_0 \in K$ such that $f_i(y_0) \neq 0$. Hence, the single variable polynomial $f(x, y_0)$ is of degree at least $i > 0$ and so it cannot be bounded on \mathbb{R} . This is a contradiction. Therefore, $f_i = 0, \forall i > 0$ and $f(x, y) = f_0(y)$, i.e., $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}[y]$.

Hence, we have $B(\mathbb{R} \times K) = \mathbb{R}[y]$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and K be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . If the interior of K is non-empty, then the algebra of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$ which are bounded on $\mathbb{R} \times K$ is $\mathbb{R}[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$.*

Proof:

Since the interior of K is non-empty, K contains an open ball and so the dimension of K is n . On the other hand, for all non-zero polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$, the hypersurface $p^{-1}(0)$ is of dimension $n-1$. Hence, $K \setminus p^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ and thus the property (1) holds. Therefore $B(\mathbb{R} \times K) = \mathbb{R}[y]$.

Let $g(x) = (g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x))$ be a vector of n polynomials in the single variable ring $\mathbb{R}[x]$ and $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ a vector of positive numbers. A generalized strip $M_c(g)$ is a closed basic semi-algebraic set defined by

$$M_c(g) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n} : g_i(x) \leq y_i \leq g_i(x) + c_i; \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}. \quad (3)$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $g, c, M_c(g)$ be defined as above. Then the algebra of polynomials bounded on $M_c(g)$ is generated by $y_1 - g_1(x), y_2 - g_2(x), \dots, y_n - g_n(x)$, i.e.,*

$$B(M_c(g)) = \mathbb{R}[y_1 - g_1(x), y_2 - g_2(x), \dots, y_n - g_n(x)]. \quad (4)$$

Proof:

Performing change of variables $z_i = y_i - g_i(x)$ for all $i = \overline{1, n}$, we have $(x, y) \in M_c(g)$ if and only if $(x, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, c]$, where $[0, c] = [0, c_1] \times \dots \times [0, c_n]$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Applying Corollary 2.1 with $K = [0, c]$, we get that a polynomial $p(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}[x, z]$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R} \times [0, c]$ if and only if $p(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$. Hence, a polynomial $f(x, y)$ is bounded on $M_c(g)$ if

and only if $f(x, z + g(x))$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R} \times [0, c]$, and so if and only if there exists $p(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ such that $f(x, z + g(x)) = p(z)$. That means

$$f(x, y) = p(y - g(x)) = p(y_1 - g_1(x), \dots, y_n - g_n(x)).$$

Remark 2.1: If we replace the strip $M_c(g)$ in Theorem 2.1 by the corresponding half strip:

$$M_c(g) \cap \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n} : x \geq x_0\}, \tag{5}$$

then the algebra of polynomials bounded on the half strip is the same as the one on the strip $M_c(g)$. The proof follows similarly from the proof of Theorem 2.1, since the algebra of polynomials bounded on $[x_0, +\infty) \times [0, c]$ is the same as that on $\mathbb{R} \times [0, c]$. Indeed, applying Corollary 2.1 for $K = [0, c]$ we have that the algebra of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$ bounded on $\mathbb{R} \times [0, c]$ is $\mathbb{R}[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$. By a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 we also get that the algebra of polynomials bounded on $[x_0, +\infty) \times K$ is $\mathbb{R}[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$, where K has Property (1). Applying this result for $K = [0, c]$ we find that $B([x_0, +\infty) \times [0, c])$ is also $\mathbb{R}[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$.

For any single variable polynomials $g_1(x), g_2(x)$, ‘a generalized strip’ is defined in the following form:

$$M(g_1, g_2) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : g_1(x) \leq y \leq g_2(x)\}. \tag{6}$$

We say $g_1 < g_2$ at infinity if $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_2(x) - g_1(x)) = +\infty$ or $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} (g_2(x) - g_1(x)) = +\infty$. In the following proposition, we show that the algebra of bounded polynomials becomes trivial on $M(g_1, g_2)$ if $g_1 < g_2$ at infinity.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $M(g_1, g_2)$ be defined as above. Suppose that $g_1 < g_2$ at infinity. Then the algebra of polynomials bounded on $M(g_1, g_2)$ is \mathbb{R} .*

In order to prove Proposition 2.1, we need the following lemma.

We denote by $\text{cone}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m)$ the convex cone finitely generated by v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e.,

$$\text{cone}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i v_i : \lambda_i \geq 0; \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}. \tag{7}$$

In this paper, we only consider finitely generated convex cones. The dimension of the cone $\text{cone}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m)$ is defined to be the dimension of the $\text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m)$. Suppose that C is a cone. We denote by $C_v := v + C$ the translation of C by $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The dimension of C_v is defined to be the dimension of C .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and K be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . If K contains an n -dimensional C_v for some cone C and vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then the bounded algebra $B(K)$ is trivial, i.e., $B(K) = \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof:

If K contains an n -dimensional C_v then there exists an affine transformation $\Phi^* : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, y \mapsto Ay + y_0$, where A is a real matrix of order $n \times n$, induced by the \mathbb{R} -algebra automorphism Φ of $\mathbb{R}[y]$ defined by $f(y) \mapsto f(Ay + y_0)$. The mapping Φ^* transforms K onto a region containing the first orthant $y_1 \geq 0, y_2 \geq 0, \dots, y_n \geq 0$. Therefore, to prove the lemma, we need to show that $B(K) = \mathbb{R}$ in the case that K contains the first orthant.

Let $f(y) \in \mathbb{R}[y]$ be a polynomial of degree d such that $f(y)$ is bounded on K . We can write

$$f(y) = \sum_{i=0}^d f_i(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), \quad (8)$$

where f_i is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i for every $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, d$. Assume that $d > 0$. Since $f_d \neq 0$, there is a point $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ in the first orthant such that $f_d(a) \neq 0$ (such a point a exists because the dimension of the first orthant is n while that of the hypersurface $f_d^{-1}(0)$ is $n-1$). Take a parameter curve $y(t) = at; t \in (0, +\infty)$. Then $y(t)$ belongs to the first orthant, hence it is contained in K . In addition, the degree of the single variable polynomial $f(y(t)) = f_d(a)t^d + \dots + f_0(a)$ is $d > 0$ so $f(y(t))$ is unbounded on $(0, +\infty)$. This contradicts with the hypothesis of $f(y)$. Therefore, $d = 0$ and $B(K) = \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.1:

- Case 1: If $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_2(x) - g_1(x)) = +\infty$ then there exist $a > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$g_2(x) - g_1(x) \sim ax^k \text{ as } x \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (9)$$

Changing of variables $z = y - g_1(x)$, we have that (x, y) belongs to $M(g_1, g_2)$ if and only if (x, z) belongs to $M := \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq z \leq g_2(x) - g_1(x)\}$. By the property (9), there exists x_1

such that $\left\{ (x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq z \leq \frac{a}{2}x, x \geq x_1 \right\} \subset M$. So M contains the 2-dimensional

translation C_v of the cone $C = \text{cone}\left((1, 0); (1, \frac{a}{2})\right)$ by $v = (x_1, 0)$. From Lemma 2.2, the

bounded algebra $B(M)$ is trivial. Therefore, the algebra of polynomials which are bounded on $M(g_1, g_2)$ is also \mathbb{R} .

- Case 2: If $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} (g_2(x) - g_1(x)) = +\infty$ then by changing of variable $x = -t$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (g_2(-t) - g_1(-t)) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} (g_2(x) - g_1(x)) = +\infty.$$

Put $\overline{M} := \{(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : g_1(-t) \leq y \leq g_2(-t)\}$. Then (x, y) belongs to $M(g_1, g_2)$ if and only if (t, y) belongs to \overline{M} . According to Case 1, we obtain $B(\overline{M}) = \mathbb{R}$. Hence, the bounded algebra $B(M(g_1, g_2))$ is also \mathbb{R} .

Remark 2.2: In view of the proof of Proposition 2.1, if we replace the strip $M(g_1, g_2)$ by the corresponding half strip:

$$M(g_1, g_2) := M(g_1, g_2) \cap \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \geq x_0\}, \quad (10)$$

then the algebra of polynomials bounded on the half strip is the same as the one on the strip if $g_1 < g_2$ at positive infinity ($\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_2(x) - g_1(x)) = +\infty$). We use the same argument as Case 1 in the proof of Proposition 2.1. Performing change of variables $z = y - g_1(x)$, we find that (x, y) belongs to the half strip $M(g_1, g_2)$ if and only if (x, z) belongs to $M' := \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq z \leq g_2(x) - g_1(x), x \geq x_0\}$. By the property (9), there exists $x_1 \geq x_0$ such that M' contains 2-dimensional translation C_v of the cone $C = \text{cone}\left((1, 0); (1, \frac{a}{2})\right)$ by $v = (x_1, 0)$. From Lemma 2.2, the bounded algebra $B(M')$ is trivial. Hence $B(M(g_1, g_2))$ is also \mathbb{R} .

From Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1, we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $g_1(x), g_2(x)$ be single variable polynomials and $M(g_1, g_2)$ defined in eq. (6). Then the following statements hold.*

1. If $g_2(x) - g_1(x)$ is equal to a positive constant c then $B(M(g_1, g_2)) = \mathbb{R}[y - g_1(x)]$.
2. If $g_1 = g_2$ then $B(M(g_1, g_2)) = (y - g_1(x))\mathbb{R}[x, y] + \mathbb{R}$.

Proof:

1. The first statement follows directly from Theorem 2.1 by $M(g_1, g_2) = M_c(g_1)$.
2. If $g_1 = g_2$ then $M(g_1, g_2) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = g_1(x)\}$.

It is clear that, $(y - g_1(x))\mathbb{R}[x, y] + \mathbb{R}$ is a subset of $B(M(g_1, g_2))$. Conversely, let $f(x, y)$ be an element of $B(M(g_1, g_2))$. Then we can write

$$f(x, y) = f_1(x, y)(y - g_1(x)) + f_0(x),$$

where $f_1(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$; $f_0(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Thus, $f(x, y)$ is bounded on $M(g_1, g_2)$ if and only if $f_0(x)$ is bounded on \mathbb{R} . Hence, there is a constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_0(x) = a, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. This means that $f(x, y)$ belongs to $(y - g_1(x))\mathbb{R}[x, y] + \mathbb{R}$.

Since $B(K_1 \cup K_2) = B(K_1) \cap B(K_2)$ with $K_1, K_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1 can be stated for a finite union of generalized strips as follows.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_{2k}(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be distinct polynomials such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_{i+1}(x) - g_i(x)) > 0, \forall i = \overline{1, 2k-1}$. Let $K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k})$ be a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 defined by*

$$K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k}) := \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \prod_{i=1}^{2k} (y - g_i(x)) \leq 0 \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Then the following statements hold.

1. *If there exists an index $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k-1\}$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_{i_0+1}(x) - g_{i_0}(x)) = +\infty$ then $B(K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k}))$ is trivial.*
2. *If $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_{i+1}(x) - g_i(x))$ is a positive constant for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k-1\}$ then $B(K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k}))$ is equal to $\mathbb{R}[y - g_1(x)]$.*

Proof:

1. By the assumption $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_{i+1}(x) - g_i(x)) > 0, \forall i = \overline{1, 2k-1}$, there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \geq x_0$, we have $g_1(x) < g_2(x) < \dots < g_{2k}(x)$. Using the notation in Remark 2.2, we get $M(g_{2i-1}, g_{2i}) \subset K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k})$ for all $i = \overline{1, \dots, k}$. This deduces $\bigcup_{i=1}^k M(g_{2i-1}, g_{2i}) \subset K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k})$. Therefore,

$$B(K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k})) \subset B\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k M(g_{2i-1}, g_{2i})\right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k B\left(M(g_{2i-1}, g_{2i})\right). \quad (12)$$

Since $\mathbb{R} \subset B(K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k}))$, to prove the first statement we only need to show that $B(K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k})) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

- Case 1: If $i_0 = 2j-1$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ then $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_{2j}(x) - g_{2j-1}(x)) = +\infty$ by the assumption. So according to Remark 2.2, we get $B\left(M(g_{2j-1}, g_{2j})\right) = \mathbb{R}$. Hence, by the property (12), we deduce $B(K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k})) \subset \mathbb{R}$.
- Case 2: If $i_0 = 2j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ then $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_{2j+1}(x) - g_{2j}(x)) = +\infty$.

If $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_{2j}(x) - g_{2j-1}(x)) = +\infty$ or $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_{2j+2}(x) - g_{2j+1}(x)) = +\infty$ then from Case 1, we have $B(K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k})) = \mathbb{R}$. Now, we assume

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_{2j}(x) - g_{2j-1}(x)) = c_j; \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_{2j+2}(x) - g_{2j+1}(x)) = c_{j+1},$$

where c_j, c_{j+1} are positive constants. Since $g_i \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, for $i = 2j-1, \dots, 2j+2$, this implies

$$g_{2j} = g_{2j-1} + c_j, g_{2j+2} = g_{2j+1} + c_{j+1}. \quad (13)$$

By Remark 2.1, we obtain:

$$B(M(g_{2j-1}, g_{2j})) = \mathbb{R}[y - g_{2j-1}(x)] = \mathbb{R}[y - g_{2j}(x)], \quad (14)$$

$$B(M(g_{2j+1}, g_{2j+2})) = \mathbb{R}[y - g_{2j+1}(x)]. \quad (15)$$

We next prove that $B(M(g_{2j-1}, g_{2j})) \cap B(M(g_{2j+1}, g_{2j+2})) = \mathbb{R}$ by showing $\mathbb{R}[y - g_{2j}(x)] \cap \mathbb{R}[y - g_{2j+1}(x)] = \mathbb{R}$, see eq. (14) and eq. (15). Let $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}[y - g_{2j}(x)] \cap \mathbb{R}[y - g_{2j+1}(x)]$. Since $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}[y - g_{2j}(x)]$, we have the following representation

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i (y - g_{2j}(x))^i; a_i \in \mathbb{R}; i = \overline{1, m}.$$

We can rewrite $f(x, y)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \left[(y - g_{2j+1}(x)) + (g_{2j+1}(x) - g_{2j}(x)) \right]^i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(x) (y - g_{2j+1}(x))^i + \sum_{i=0}^m a_i (g_{2j+1}(x) - g_{2j}(x))^i; h_i(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}[y - g_{2j+1}(x)]$ and eq. (16) we get

$$\begin{cases} h_i(x) \in \mathbb{R}, i = \overline{1, m} \\ q(x) := \sum_{i=0}^m a_i (g_{2j+1}(x) - g_{2j}(x))^i \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Observe that the hypothesis $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_{2j+1}(x) - g_{2j}(x)) = +\infty$ implies $\deg(g_{2j+1}(x) - g_{2j}(x)) > 0$. So if $m > 0$ then $q(x) \notin \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $m = 0$ or $f(x, y) = a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $B(M(g_{2j-1}, g_{2j})) \cap B(M(g_{2j+1}, g_{2j+2})) = \mathbb{R}$. By the property (12) we conclude that $B(K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k})) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

2. Assume that $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (g_{i+1}(x) - g_i(x)) = c_i > 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k-1\}$. We have

$$g_{i+1}(x) = g_i(x) + c_i = g_1(x) + c_1 + \dots + c_i, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, i = \overline{1, 2k-1}. \quad (16)$$

Using the notation in Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.1, we have

$$K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k M(g_{2i-1}, g_{2i}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k M_{c_{2i-1}}(g_{2i-1}). \quad (17)$$

Otherwise, by Corollary 2.2 and eq. (16), we get

$$B(M(g_{2i-1}, g_{2i})) = \mathbb{R}[y - g_{2i-1}(x)] = \mathbb{R}[y - g_1(x) - c_1 - c_2 - \dots - c_{2i-2}] = \mathbb{R}[y - g_1(x)].$$

From eq. (17), we find

$$B(K(g_1, \dots, g_{2k})) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k B(M(g_{2i-1}, g_{2i})) = \mathbb{R}[y - g_1(x)].$$

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have described the algebra of polynomials bounded on some strips. On the Euclidean space of dimension $n+1$, we show that the algebra bounded on the generalized strip $M_c(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ is finitely generated by the polynomials $y - g_1(x), \dots, y - g_n(x)$ provided that c is a positive vector of \mathbb{R}^n . On the plane \mathbb{R}^2 , the algebra bounded on the strip $M(g_1, g_2)$ depends on the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (g_2(x) - g_1(x))$. The algebra is trivial if the limit is positive infinity; finitely generated by $y - g_1(x)$ if this limit is a positive constant and equal to $(y - g_1(x))\mathbb{R}[x, y] + \mathbb{R}$ if this limit is zero. In addition, we also gave a corollary of this algebra on the finite union of generalized strips.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research is funded by University of Transport and Communications (UTC) under grant number T2021-CB-006.

REFERENCES

- [1]. H. V. Ha, T. S. Pham, Genericity in Polynomial Optimization, Series on Optimization and Its Applications, Vol. 3, World Scientific, 2017.
- [2]. J. B. Lasserre, Moments, Positive Polynomials and their Applications, Imperial College Press, London, 2009.
- [3]. M. Laurent, Sums of squares, moment matrices and optimization over polynomials, in: M. Putinar and S. Sullivant (Eds.), Emerging Applications of Algebraic Geometry. The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 149, Springer, New York, 2009, pp. 157–270.
- [4]. C. Scheiderer, Positivity and sums of squares: a guide to recent results, in: M. Putinar and S. Sullivant (Eds.), Emerging Applications of Algebraic Geometry. The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 149, Springer, New York, 2009, pp. 271–324.
- [5]. T. M. Ho, Trang T. Du, Polynomial optimization on some unbounded semi-algebraic, J. Optim. Theory Appl., 183 (2019) 352–363. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10957-019-01544-5>
- [6]. K. Schmudgen, The K-moment problem for compact semi-algebraic sets, Math. Ann., 289 (1991) 203–206. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01446568>
- [7]. C. Scheiderer, Sums of squares on real algebraic surfaces, Manuscripta Math., 119 (2006) 395–410. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00229-006-0630-5>
- [8]. H. V. Ha, T. M. Ho, Positive polynomials on nondegenerate basic semi-algebraic sets, Adv.

Geom., 16 (2016) 497–510. <https://doi.org/10.1515/advgeom-2016-0017>

[9]. M. Schweighofer, Iterated rings of bounded elements and generalizations of Schmüdgen's Positivstellensatz, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 554 (2003) 19–45. <https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.2003.004>

[10]. M. Michalska, Algebra of bounded polynomials on a set Zariski closed at infinity cannot be finitely generated, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 137 (2013) 705–715. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bulsci.2013.02.006>

[11]. P. Mondal, T. Netzer, How fast do polynomials grow on semi-algebraic sets?, *J. Algebra*, 413 (2014) 320–344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.05.006>

[12]. K. Kurdyka, M. Michalska, S. Spodzieja, Bifurcation values and stability of algebras of bounded polynomials, *Adv. Geom.*, 14 (2014) 631–646. <https://doi.org/10.1515/advgeom-2014-0006>

[13]. M. Marshall, Polynomials non-negative on a strip, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138 (2010) 1559–1567. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-09-10016-3>

[14]. V. Powers, Positive polynomials and the moment problem for cylinders with compact cross-section, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 188 (2004) 217–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2003.10.009>